

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/000,008	PLOUG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Sayoc	3746

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Emmanuel Sayoc. (3) _____.

(2) William Lee. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2004

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103a and 102b

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Masuda U.S. 5,086,689

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to the outlined examiner's amendment in order to further distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)